

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/577,161	09/06/2006	Roland Burk	016906-0503	3248
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 05/10/201 LARDNER LLP	0	EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			SAVANI, AVINASH A	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/577,161 BURK ET AL. Office Action Summary

	cincorionon cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		AVINASH SAVANI	3749					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ chasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. A certain communication of the communication of the communication of the certain communication of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2006.						
		action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4)(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5\□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Annlicat	ion Papers							
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		41					
10)[
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
-	•							
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
۳,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Hiformation Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/S0/05) Paper No(s)/Mail Date._____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/2006. 6) Other: __ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100414 Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/577,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the second additional heater" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dage et al [6464027].
- 5. With respect to claim 1, Dage discloses: A heating apparatus for a motor vehicle which has an internal combustion engine (14) and a vehicle interior [see FIG 2], having a cooling circuit (34) for cooling the internal combustion engine (14) [col 2, line 49-50, col 5, line 46-49] and having a heating circuit [see FIG 1] which has at least one heating body (48), for heating the vehicle interior, as well as having an electrical additional heater (66), in particular a PTC additional heater [see FIG 1, col 4, line 27-31], characterized in that a heat source can be connected to the cooling and/or heating circuit (34) as the second additional heater (54) [see col 3, line 56-col 4, line 9].

Application/Control Number: 10/577,161 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

6. With respect to claim 2, Dage discloses: The heating apparatus as claimed in claim i, characterized in that the heat source is in the form of an exhaust-gas heat exchanger (54) [see FIG 1].

- 7. With respect to claim 3, Dage discloses: The heating apparatus as claimed in claim 2, characterized in that the exhaust-gas heat exchanger (54) is arranged between the internal combustion engine (14) and the heating body (48) in the heating circuit [see FIG 1].
- With respect to claim 6, Dage discloses: The heating apparatus as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the heat source is in the form of a fuel heater (64) [col 4, line 10-16].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,161

Art Unit: 3749

- Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Dage ['027], further in view of Itakura [4993377].
- With respect to claim 4, Dage discloses the heating apparatus as claimed in claim 1, however does not disclose the visco heater.
- 13. With respect to claim 5, Dage discloses the heating apparatus as claimed in claim 4, however does not disclose the visco heater as further claimed.
- 14. With regard to claims 4 and 5, Dage discloses the heating apparatus, however Itakura teaches a similar device characterized in that the heat source is in the form of a visco heater (22) [col 4, line 15-26] and characterized in that the visco heater is arranged upstream of the heating body (18) in the heating circuit [see FIG 1]. In view of Itakura, a visco heater is used to convert mechanical energy to heat by means of liquid friction. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a visco heater as a heat source because the technique was known in the art, yielding the predictable result of utilizing waste heat to heat a compartment of a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AVINASH SAVANI whose telephone number is (571)270-3762. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, alternate Fridays off, 7:30-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven McAllister can be reached on 571-272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Avinash Savani/ Examiner, Art Unit 3749 /Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749

/A. S./ 4/15/2010